Thai Studies in Australia

Final Report
Introduction

1. The Australia-Thailand Institute (ATI) commissioned the Lowy Institute to assess the progress and viability of the National Thai Studies Centre at the Australian National University, and more broadly, the viability of the ATI funding research, teaching and outreach designed to build Australians’ awareness of and interest in Thailand.

2. The Lowy Institute conducted research on the state of public awareness of and engagement with Thailand, the state of Thai studies in Australia, the viability of publicly-funded research, teaching and outreach designed to build Australians’ awareness of and interest in Thailand, and the possible strategies for most effectively building Australians’ awareness of and interest in Thailand using ATI funds.

3. The research occurred in four stages:
   (a) A public opinion survey designed to gauge the extent and nature of public interest in and knowledge of Thailand;

   (b) A comprehensive review of the curricula of Australian universities and research institutions with the aim of determining the extent of expertise on Thailand; and the conduct of research and teaching on Thailand, its language, politics, society, history and culture;

   (c) Detailed correspondence and conversations with experts in Thai studies in Australia, including a questionnaire designed to gauge reactions to a standard set of questions about the impressions of Thai studies in Australia; and

   (d) Correspondence and conversations with other stakeholders such as Australian and Thai government officials, business people, members of the Australia-Thailand Institute, university leaders and journalists.

4. An Interim report was requested by the Australia-Thailand Institute for consideration at its 24 June meeting, and was supplied on 23 June 2010. This final report draws heavily on the arguments made and the data gathered over a two-month research period. No respondents are directly quoted in the report, because all were spoken to on the guarantee that they would not be quoted directly.
Australians’ Interest in and Knowledge of Thailand

5. The data and opinions collected strongly support the conclusion that Australians are in general well-disposed to Thailand and are broadly interested in at least some aspects of the country and its culture.

6. Lowy Institute polling of Australians’ opinions on the world since 2005 reveals that Thailand is well regarded among Asian countries by Australians. On a 1 to 100 scale (where 1 is not positive and 100 is very positive), Australians scored Thailand at 59, surpassed only by Japan at 66, Singapore at 64 and Vietnam at 60, but ahead of Malaysia (58), India (56), China (53), South Korea (53) and Indonesia (49).

7. A recent study by AFG Venture Group, however, points to some worrying trends. The study’s survey of Asian focused business people in late 2009 found a marked and unidirectional decline of “long term attractiveness” of Thailand, which it attributed to the political turmoil in the country. The study also showed a marked rise in disillusion with the Thailand-Australia Free Trade Agreement’s ability to deliver substantive economic gains.

8. Most interest and knowledge of the country is associated with a direct experience – most often in the form of a tourist visit. Other niche interests that often build into a broader interest in Thailand include food/cooking, Buddhism, martial arts, and massage. Independent polling on public interest in Thailand commissioned for this study shows clearly where the interests and experiences of Australians lie. Asked what attributes they most strongly associate with Thailand, the highest number nominated holidays and beaches (54%), Buddhism (42%) and friendly people (43%).

9. When asked what aspects of Thailand they were most interested in knowing more about, most nominated Thai cooking (72%; with 31% very interested and 41% somewhat interested), followed by the Thai people (61%), Thai culture (60%); Thai tourism (52%) and Thai history (45%). The weakest interest was in Thai sports (16%), the Thai economy (19%) and Thai politics (19%). There was some interest (24%) in learning the Thai language.

10. The broadness of this interest, however, is not matched by any depth of understanding or particular curiosity about Thailand’s language, society, culture or history. One Thai expert characterized most Australians’ knowledge as “dinner party conversation level”, heavily subject to prejudices and parochialism.

---

3 The poll was conducted as part of the Neilson Online Omnibus during the week 24-29 June 2010. It gained responses from 1661 Australians over the age of 18 in all states and territories. For full results, see Appendix 2.
11. In contrast to some other Asian countries, therefore, Thailand grabs most Australians at an experiential, not an intellectual level. It is a level of interest that is affectionate and anecdotal (in the words of one interlocutor, “bars, beaches and bargains”) rather than couched in a broader framework of strategic, economic or cultural significance to Australia.

12. There are at least three possible reasons for this experiential rather than intellectual level of interest:

(a) Thailand has little or no visibility in the high school curriculum in both teaching materials and instruction. Asian elements in the school curriculum tend to follow Australians’ general interest in the larger Asian countries and cultures, and there are no significant historical episodes (apart from the occasional mention of Japanese PoW camps, marches and projects) in which Australia and Thailand significantly intersect. There is thus little essential grounding for an intellectual interest.

(b) Thailand suffers from a tendency to group Southeast Asia as an homogeneous whole – and as a consequence, not to think about it too deeply. One Thai expert argued that there is a reluctance to question or analyse the problematic aspects of Southeast Asian countries’ fragile and semi-authoritarian political systems which leads to a complacency and lack of interest – and ultimately to stereotypes and prejudices.

(c) Thailand may have been too successful in promoting itself as a good holiday destination, with the consequence that Australians are less likely to take it seriously as an economic or strategic actor in its own right.

13. Several experts warned that we should not take Australians’ broadly warm feelings towards Thailand for granted. The recent political turmoil in Bangkok has the potential to affect public perceptions towards a tendency to include Thailand in the category of “dangerous Asian countries”. Such perceptions have had serious impacts on student interest in Indonesia and to a lesser extent Cambodia, Vietnam and Laos.
Provision of Research and Teaching Resources

14. Research expertise in Australia on Thailand is very limited. With a broad definition of expertise (Thai language proficiency for example was not one of our criteria) we were able to count 34 scholars with an interest in Thailand. The vast majority of these are involved in Thailand out of a disciplinary interest (epidemiology, water management, archaeology etc) rather than out of a primary interest in Thailand itself.

15. Nearly half (13) of these scholars are concentrated at the Australian National University in Canberra. The rest are scattered among other universities in Australia; the next highest concentration is the University of Sydney with 4.

16. The only university that offers a Thai studies major within its Asian Studies undergraduate degree is the ANU. The ANU teaches six courses on history, frontiers, human rights, economics, and cultural studies that have substantial components on Thailand, plus a full Thai language program, including honours. It includes a “Year in Thailand” option, which allows students to study in a Thai University for 12 months. It is unusual for undergraduates to go on to Honours level in Thai studies at the ANU. There are no Masters programs in Thai studies at ANU and 15 PhD students undertaking Thailand-related research.

17. The ANU is the only university that offers a full set of Thai language courses. Its full-time Thai language teaching staff has reduced from 4 in 1990 to 1 now due to a decline in demand. There is some evidence that Thai language courses are not attractive to students from non-Thai speaking backgrounds without a professional reason for language study, because of the perception that they will do less well than students from Thai-speaking backgrounds.

18. Other Universities focus on Thailand as part of broader Southeast Asian studies courses. Australia’s premier political science specialist on Thailand told me that he has been unable to convince his colleagues of the value of a specific course on Thai politics, even in the context of the current turmoil. Another Thai studies specialist argued that there is a pervasive prejudice against teaching single-country courses on what are thought to be Asian states of “lesser importance” and in favour of addressing them through broader “omnibus” courses. There is a common logic, over several decades, for the disciplines (history, politics, economics etc) to swallow Asian Studies sub-disciplines of all but the “big three” Asian studies sub-disciplines (Japanese, Chinese and Indonesian).

19. Thai studies in Australian universities has succumbed to a pervasive “market logic” that the post-Dawkins reforms have embedded in the Australian tertiary sector. The logic behind the allocation of resources has been overwhelmingly shaped by student demand, resulting in underinvestment in and rationalisation of resource intensive, niche specialties. Australia’s expertise on Thailand is aging or being lured away to Asian and North American universities.
20. The NTSC is funded by the ANU to the tune of nearly $15,000 per year. It receives small annual grants from the Royal Thai Embassy in Canberra. Both the Executive Director and the Deputy Director are honorary positions, and both incumbents have full-time roles elsewhere in the University. The NTSC has no ongoing administrative staff.

21. The objectives of the NTSC are to “promote the study of Thailand in all academic disciplines, Thai language instruction, and public awareness of Thailand [and to] support linkages with Thailand in a broad range of fields, including education, culture, public administration and business.”

22. The NTSC lists its strategies as follows:

- Support the study of Thai political, economic, social and cultural issues, at universities and schools in Australia
- Support the teaching of the Thai language in Australia, including by production of teaching materials for this purpose, and supporting continued acquisitions for the outstanding Thai holdings at the Australian National Library and ANU’s Menzies library
- Hold regular seminars and an annual or biennial Update Conference on contemporary Thai issues, featuring experts from both Thailand and Australia
- Act as a contact point for requests from the media and other sections of the community for expert opinion and information on Thailand
- Establish a national database of educators, students, officials, private sector representatives and members of the Australian public who are interested in Thailand, and keep in regular email contact with them about relevant activities
- Initiate and foster links between relevant institutions and organisations in Australia – particularly the Australia-Thailand Institute – and others (universities and research institutes) in Thailand
- Maintain a website that provides information on activities related to Thailand in Australia, contemporary developments in Thailand, and useful linkages.

23. Many of these strategies are not being actively prosecuted by the NTSC at present. There is little evidence of its commitment to support the teaching of Thai language outside the ANU, little evidence of active networking among Thai specialists; little evidence of attempts to broaden interest in and knowledge of Thailand among non-specialists; and no obvious commitment to maintaining a dynamic and user-friendly website.

24. There is very little awareness of the NTSC outside of the community of Thai studies specialists, and a wide variety of opinions on it from within the Thai studies community.
25. Some specialists are very positive about the role and performance of the NTSC, believing it to have a clear mandate and set of objectives and a vigorous approach to meeting these. There is strong belief in the value of its regular Thailand updates and a general acknowledgement that without the NTSC, there would be no natural “centre” of Thai studies, able to co-ordinate among government, business and academic interests.

26. Supporters of the NTSC are unanimous that it is under-resourced as an institution, and that it could achieve a great deal more if properly funded. One respondent remarked that the NTSC suffered from the legacy of the great hopes and expectations that had attended its early years, and was destined to disappoint these expectations as its funding base declined.

27. On the other hand, there are quite a few critics of the NTSC among the community of Thai specialists. Some of this seems to be the product of the rivalries that are so common within academia. But there are some serious criticisms which tend to recur among the institution’s critics.

28. One common complaint is that the NTSC is not a national institution, being located within Canberra and the ANU. This draws on a tension common to many of the ANU’s “national” centers, which have to at the same time try to function as national institutions while being expected to be part of “team ANU” by internal interests. Many Thai scholars complained that the NTSC makes little attempt to reach outside of Canberra, and several suggested that the NTSC should be located in one of the major population centers: Sydney, Melbourne or Brisbane.

29. Another set of respondents argued that the NTSC’s two objectives – to promote Thai studies nationally and to function as a centre of Thai research – were incompatible, particularly for a centre located at the ANU. There was a strong argument from within the ANU that it was no longer an objective of the NTSC to be a national promoter of interest in Thailand (despite this being clearly listed as an objective on the NTSC website).

30. Some Thai specialists were critical of the NTSC’s leadership over many years, arguing that it is a shell of an institution, with little vision or dynamism for the future other than keeping the shop-front upright. One respondent compared its role in promoting interest in Thailand unfavourably in comparison to AsiaLink.

31. Senior academic leaders within the ANU were careful to separate the NTSC from the ANU’s interest and commitment to investing in Thai studies. One remarked that “the NTSC is neither the repository of all of the ANU’s Thailand expertise; nor does the ANU channel its resourcing of Thai studies through the NTSC”. It was made very clear that the ANU is committed to Thai studies in the long term irrespective of the NTSC’s fate.

32. Several interlocutors raised concerns about the NTSC’s growing relationship with the Royal Thai Embassy in Canberra, particularly in the past 4 years, as the Embassy has increasingly used the NTSC as vehicle for Thai government organised public relations events. One respondent observed that even before political tensions in Thailand bought some of these issues to a head, there was discomfort among some
Board members that government and business interests compromised the academic independence of the NTSC. In his opinion, there is a significant reputational risk for Thai studies in Australia if an umbrella entity such as NTSC is seen as limiting full and frank discussion.

33. Several commentators argued that the NTSC faces the same malaise that confronts Asian studies in general in Australia. They argued that the NTSC arose in the wake of Australia’s profound “awakening” to the new Asia embodied in the FitzGerald, Ingleson and Garnaut Reports of the 1980s, which imbued it in its early years with a sense of national mission and purpose. That sense of purpose has dissipated over time with a greater questioning of the importance of Asia for Australia, while Asian studies in general has been dispirited by a slackening of student demand and the gradual withdrawal of resources and non-renewal of specialist expertise. The conclusion of these commentators was that Australia as a society needs to re-examine its relationship to Asia and renew its sense of purpose in engaging with the region – and that the best prospects of a renewal of purpose for a Thai studies centre lies in the context of a broader national renewal of purpose on Asia.
Options for Investing in Thai Studies

34. Public investment in Thai studies in Australia confronts a basic strategic choice:
   (a) whether to promote broader and more sustained public interest and knowledge of Thailand; or
   (b) whether to concentrate funding towards building and sustaining a world-class centre of expertise on Thailand within one or more of Australia’s universities.

35. Option (a) contains further questions, including whether it is possible to promote public interest and knowledge with necessarily limited funds, and where such funds can best be directed (to schools? Thai institutes? Media?). A choice in this direction would be based on the judgment that broad public engagement with Australia’s region is an overriding national interest. It would also need to confront the issue raised in 12(b) above – the general tendency in Australia to generalize about and overlook Southeast Asia.

36. Option (b) on the other hand would be based on the conviction that more important than broad public engagement is a capacity to project an Australian perspective on Asia into global debates – and an assumption that Australian perspectives on Asia are different from, and can therefore enrich, European, North American and Asian perspectives on Asia. This option would need to be based on a positive vision of Thai expertise – a vision of growth and dynamism in the Australia-Thailand relationship – rather than a negative vision - the need to maintain a basic structure of Thai expertise that could be used for advice when things go wrong in Thailand.

37. There are several rationales for investing in Thai expertise in Australia. Perhaps the most compelling is the steady decline in genuine Thai studies expertise in this country. Even more alarming is that at least four recent junior appointments to Southeast Asian studies courses at different Australian universities were filled by American graduates – a worrying sign that this country is not producing enough PhD graduates to satisfy the meager demand that does exist.

38. One respondent argued strongly that a vibrant and diverse community of Thailand scholars is necessary particularly as Thailand enters a period of internal unrest and insularity. Several commentators remarked that a worrying cycle has developed, whereby unrest in Thailand leads to external analysis and critique, which leads to Thai interests accusing those who criticize Thailand as having an anti-Thai agenda, which then leads to further criticism of Thailand, and so on. The problem with the small number of Thai-speaking specialists, one respondent argued, was that the Australian media is limited to a very narrow range of views on events in Thailand, which in turn has a powerful effect on shaping public perceptions. A broader range of views, she argued, would lead to a much more nuanced view of developments in Thailand.

39. While it is undoubtedly important to invest in the development of deep academic expertise on Thailand in Australia, the ATI should note that there are other institutions

---

4 See for example Peter Hartcher, “Scheming King Unwilling to Stop the Violence on Bangkok’s Streets”, Sydney Morning Herald, 18 May 2010; and the Thai Ambassador’s letter to the Editor at http://bintercultural.files.wordpress.com/2010/05/ambassador-letter-in-response.pdf
that are already committed to this objective. The ANU has indicated that it has an ongoing commitment to invest in Thai studies and Thai expertise, irrespective of the fate of the NTSC. The ANU’s reputational considerations make it unlikely that it will suddenly choose to disinvest in Thai studies in the near future; rather it is likely to maintain levels of expertise necessary to satisfy student demand and fulfil its mandate as Australia’s leading centre of broad Asian studies expertise.

40. The other serious player in this field is the Thai government. The Royal Thai Embassy (RTE) in Canberra has a strong view on the need to build Thai studies expertise in Australia outside of Canberra. It believes that Thailand is “under-appreciated” and “misunderstood” by Australians, despite extensive tourism, trade and people-to-people links; and it believes that media reporting in Australia is not adequate and is often biased. It is the strong preference of the RTE that Thai studies should focus less on Thai language and culture and more on the politics, economics and social aspects of contemporary Thai society. It believes that a broad network of balanced Thai specialists within academia, government, industry and the media is needed in Australia.

41. The RTE would like to establish a Thai studies centre in Australia in 2012, the 60th anniversary of the establishment of Australia-Thailand diplomatic relations. The Thai government has already set aside funds for the establishment of the Centre, and the RTE is hopeful that the Australian government will also contribute funds. Ideally the RTE would like the Centre to be established in Melbourne and believes that Asialink is the “natural partner” for this enterprise. Its initial plans are modest, and could comprise an academic Chair in the first instance, building to a graduate studies program and Thai-government sponsored visits to Thailand.

42. The establishment of this Centre, while it would need to be careful to avoid some of the issues surrounding the sensitivity of the Thai government to critical views of Thailand, would go some way towards further investing in the depth of Thai expertise in Australia, in one of its major metropolitan centres, thereby making it more accessible to a larger population.

43. With the prospects for investment in academic expertise on Thailand reasonably positive, there is a strong case to be made for using public funding through the ATI to build public awareness and interest in Thailand. But using such funds judiciously needs to take into account (a) the current situation of public interest in Thailand; (b) the competition from other countries to build public engagement and (c) which mechanisms have the potential to yield the highest return on the investment of funds.

44. It is clear from the quantitative polling in this report that Australians are in general open to knowledge about and experience of Thailand, but lack the incentive to invest more heavily in deepening their knowledge. A well-targeted public engagement campaign would build on these features, specifically by using Australians’ experiential interest in Thailand to pique their curiosity and draw them into deeper engagement. For example, one very great advantage Thailand has over other Southeast Asian countries is its attractiveness as a tourist destination. An awareness raising campaign could use Thailand’s tourist appeal to pique the interest of Australian tourists further, perhaps by offering prospective travelers, through their travel agents, a free interactive guide to Thailand that can be accessed on portable
45. A public awareness campaign on Thailand would be entering a crowded field, and competing against powerful, established and well-funded competitors ranging from the British Council and the Goethe Institut to the Japan Foundation and the Confucius Institutes. While there is little indication that the Thai government is contemplating such a scheme, a Thai public awareness campaign would do well to study and learn from the most successful of these public diplomacy vehicles. The most noteworthy in this field is the Japan Foundation, which judiciously uses funds to identify and foster existing interest in or contact with Japan. A Thai equivalent to the most adaptable of the Japan Foundation’s programs could provide grants to Australians with an interest in or connection to Thailand to further develop their engagement and knowledge. The beauty of such schemes is that the applicants are self-selecting and the money is used in highly effective ways to deepen interest and expertise.

46. Several interlocutors argued that new communications technologies offer the most powerful and cost-effective multiplier effect to a public interest strategy. The internet in particular has made material on Thailand available to anyone who is interested. The new media, with its capacity to construct communities of interest and connect users to vast domains of information, has the capacity to provide vital support to a community interest campaign.

47. As an example, many Thai specialists referred to the ANU’s “New Mandala” website, which provides analysis of contemporary events in Thailand and Southeast Asia. Since its establishment in 2006, New Mandala has published more than 2500 posts, and has received about 25,000 reader comments. Over the past year New Mandala has averaged around 2000 hits per day. This spiked considerably during the recent Thai crisis with many days around 10,000 reads, several above 20,000 and one above 30,000. It is regularly used by the public and the media, in Australia and abroad, and is a highly effective disseminator of high quality analysis on Thailand.

48. Another innovative use of the new media to promote knowledge is the ANU’s use of Youtube. This year, the ANU Youtube channel, in conjunction with New Mandala, began producing a series of videos on “Thailand in Crisis.” These have attracted thousands of viewers and have lifted the ANU channel to close to the top of Youtube’s Education Page. Investment in public engagement could realistically leverage the new media to gain maximum impact from

49. There is significant potential to use public funds creatively and efficiently to build Australians’ interest in and knowledge of Thailand. One way of stimulating and harvesting ideas would be to begin a genuine and iterative conversation among stakeholders in Thai studies in Australia, connecting them in a way that hasn’t occurred for over a decade, and challenging them to think about broadening public interest in their area of specialisation.
Conclusions

50. In summary, there would seem to be a threshold level of public interest in Thailand that is poorly matched by either deeper knowledge or the infrastructure needed to provide that deeper knowledge.

51. There is also a strong national interest in maintaining and further developing Australia’s expertise on a pivotal country in Australia’s region, which is likely to experience internal instability for some years.

52. The current state of Thai studies in Australia’s universities points to a gradual decline in Thai expertise, even at the traditional center of Thai studies, the ANU. This is partly the result of the shrinking of funding due to slowing student interest; a general prejudice against country-based courses in favour of comparative or regional courses; and a general atmosphere of demoralization among Thai specialists (best summed up in the almost universal judgement that Chinese, Japanese and Indonesian are monopolizing Asian studies). However, there appears to be a commitment on the part of the ANU to maintain a basic structure of Thai expertise, consistent with its mandate as the most comprehensive university centre of Asian studies expertise in this country. The Thai government appears to have reserved funding to establish a centre of Thai studies in Melbourne by 2012.

53. There appears to be some uncertainty over the future of the NTSC. It is currently functioning at a basal rate which is determined by its very low rate of funding, the unrealistically ambitious nature of its objectives, and the volunteer-based conditions of its staff. The majority of Thai specialists in Australia are of the opinion that it no longer functions as a national center, that it no longer contains much Thailand expertise, and that it only sporadically fulfils its public information function. There is almost universal agreement that without further funding it will continue to decline. It is unclear whether the ANU, which provides the bulk of its funding, will continue to fund the NTSC; nor is it clear that the Royal Thai Embassy will continue to support it after the establishment of the Melbourne-based Thai studies centre after 2012. And yet it is unlikely that, even with moderate funding injections, the NTSC will have a major impact on public interest in, or Australian expertise on, Thailand.

54. The ANU and the Thai government are committed into the medium term future to supporting the development of academic expertise on Thailand, in Canberra and Melbourne respectively. Given these commitments, the most effective use of ATI funding would be towards building greater public interest in and engagement with Thailand and Thai society, politics and culture. Several possible avenues for the use of ATI funding were identified in the course of this research, yet there are likely to be many more. One initial method for stimulating and harvesting ideas would be to convene a new conversation among Thai specialists across Australia with the explicit purpose of arresting Australia’s declining engagement with Thailand.
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