

Live and die with dignity: a publisher vs. a kingdom

to produce or publish their stories. So that, both they and Aan may still be somebody with a vocal voice who remain as unscathed (*khlaew khlaad*) as possible, both as women and as subjects of Thailand, a democracy with the king as the head of state. [my translation]

The year 2012 was a much more open and vibrant time for domestic debate through cultural and artistic work, even though the abuse of the lese majeste law was on the rise. At least we had a democratically elected government, and the royal succession hadn't happened yet. It was still possible for a very small and very dissident publisher like Aan to talk about maintaining dignity and survival in the same breath.

Now, in 2019, eleven years into Aan's eventful run, we have no new lese majeste cases—we have bodies stuffed with concrete beach on the Mekong. Maintaining dignity, or avoiding losing our last shred of it, becomes increasingly difficult to do without thinking of death. If Aan is to continue, then its mission will mostly progressively be hollowed out. Perhaps what sets "survivors" apart is not the fact that they have yet to die, but that they have yet to be stripped of the choice to die and release themselves from duty on their own terms.

My daily commute between work at Aan and home happens to pass through Bangkok's historical centre. The area was recently redecorated with shades of yellow and marigold for the coronation ceremony in early May. On one such commute, I was admiring the contrast between the smoky blue evening sky and the clear yellow from the lightbulbs draped over scrawny trees flanking Ratchadamnoen Boulevard.

Suddenly an idea occurred to me: "This beauty was paid for by the people's tax and made possible by gruelling labor called in at the last minute." This idea didn't diminish the beauty in front of my eyes, but it did make me feel like a subject of the kingdom. This feeling of subjection came with neither dejection, rejection nor guilt, but a mute complicity. I enjoyed the lights for what they were: a feast for the eyes of subjects.

The scene brought me back to my curious state after I watched *John Wick*. I felt really confused by the experience of seeing the ultraviolent movie right after seeing King Rama X's propaganda videos and listening to the royal anthem. I couldn't help but wonder if other people felt something like a dysfunctional light switch, in the intermission between neo-yellow and neo-noir, between the politics of joyous fealty and the aesthetics of eye-stabbing violence. Sure, it's habit. Now stand up, and now sit down, Thank You Teacher. Now wear black, and now wear yellow, Long Live the King!

Are authoritarian habits strong enough to sap the will to freedom? I don't think so. Yet somehow I can't shake the feeling that I'm here in this kingdom on borrowed time—the feeling that getting to go see *John Wick 3: Parabellum* was something some people up there had allowed me to enjoy. Half-seeing gruesome deaths on screen as a paying accomplice is much like being allowed to half-

